(5) C.F. 3807

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1708 /2003

PETITIONERS : 1. Brijesh Kumar Gupta, S/o Late Shri R.S.Gupta, aged 33 years, Occupation-Service (Part time Lecturer), R/o Thana Road, Batuli, District Sarguja (C.G.).

2. Santosh Kumar Singh, S/o Shri Ram Badan Singh, aged 35 years, Occupation-Service (Part time Lecturer), R/o Mahuva Para, Ambikapur District Sarguja

3. Himanshu Shrivastava, S/o Shri S.S.Shrivastava, aged 36 years, Occupation-Service (Part time Lecturer), R/o Bhatti Road, Trikon Chowk, Ambikapur, District Sarguja.

P. R. No. 1549/03
Presented by Shri Arunasen Sahu
dated 04/06/2003.

-VERSUS-

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary, Department of Manpower Planning, Mantralaya, D.K.S.Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. Director, Technical Education, Mahila Polytechnic Campus, Baron Bazar, Raipur (C.G.).

3. Principal, Government Polytechnic, Ambikapur District Sarguja (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY.
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

WRIT UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDERS OR DIRECTION.

(56) (57)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. No. 1708/2003

मामला क्रमांक ..... / ..... **सन्** 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	16/6/2003 Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, Counsel for the petitioners. Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate for the State on advance copy. Counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners were initially appointed for 3 months on contract basis and thereafter on part time against the sanctioned posts and as such they are working for the last 10 years with certain periodical gaps. However, vide annexure P-12 the department of Directorate of Technical Education issued advertisement to file up the posts on which the petitioners are already working on contract basis. Counsel submits that instead of making again contract appointments, the posts should have been advertised for regular appointments. He however submitted that the petitioners have not made any representation thereof. Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioners have neither filed a representation nor served any notice for demand of justice and that this petition is not maintainable.	

GRP 0.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P.No. 1708/2003

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन्** 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will serve if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation along-with a copy of this order to the competent authority within two weeks from today and on such representation being filed the competent authority shall hear the grievance of the petitioner and decide the same by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law within (2) two three months from the date of receipt/production of representation. If any adverse order is passed and the petitioners thereby feel aggrieved, they may challenge the said order in accordance with law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. In view of this order, M.W.P.1538/03, I.A.No.5002/02 and I.A.5003/2003 also stand disposed of. C.C. as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

Raaf—

GR.PRJ—FS/78 — 9/2000 —50,000.